



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS
GREG ABBOTT

October 21, 2004

Mr. Brad Norton
Assistant City Attorney
City of Austin
P. O. Box 1088
Austin, Texas 78767-8845

OR2004-8978

Dear Mr. Norton:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 211873.

The City of Austin (the "city") received a request for three categories of information related to a specified offense report and "ATF TRACE" for firearms. You state that you do not have information responsive to a portion of the request.¹ You claim that the remaining requested information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.103 of the Government Code. We have considered the exception you claim and reviewed the submitted information.

Initially, we note that the submitted information is subject to required public disclosure under section 552.022 of the Government Code, which provides in relevant part:

the following categories of information are public information and not excepted from required disclosure under this chapter unless they are expressly confidential under other law:

¹ We note that the Public Information Act (the "Act") does not require a governmental body to release information that did not exist when a request for information was received or to prepare new information in response to a request for information. See *Econ. Opportunities Dev. Corp. v. Bustamante*, 562 S.W.2d 266, 267-68 (Tex. Civ. App.—San Antonio 1978, writ dismissed); Open Records Decision Nos. 605 at 2 (1992), 452 at 3 (1986), 362 at 2 (1983). A governmental body must make a good faith effort to relate a request for information to any responsive information that is within its custody or control. See Open Records Decision No. 561 at 8-9 (1990).

(1) a completed report, audit, evaluation, or investigation made of, for, or by a governmental body, except as provided by Section 552.108[.]

Gov't Code § 552.022(a)(1). The submitted information consists of a completed investigation. Therefore, as prescribed by section 552.022, the city must release such information unless it is excepted from disclosure under section 552.108 or expressly confidential under other law. Section 552.103 of the Government Code is a discretionary exception to disclosure that protects the governmental body's interests and is therefore not other law that makes information expressly confidential for purposes of section 552.022(a). *See Dallas Area Rapid Transit v. Dallas Morning News*, 4 S.W.3d 469 (Tex. App.—Dallas 1999, no pet.) (governmental body may waive section 552.103). Therefore, the submitted information may not be withheld under section 552.103. We note, however, that portions of the submitted information are subject to sections 552.101, 552.130, and 552.137 of the Government Code, which are "other law" for purposes of section 552.022.² We will therefore address the applicability of these sections.

Section 552.101 of the Government Code excepts from disclosure "information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision" and encompasses laws that make criminal history record information ("CHRI") confidential. CHRI "means information collected about a person by a criminal justice agency that consists of identifiable descriptions and notations of arrests, detentions, indictments, informations, and other formal criminal charges and their dispositions" but does not include "driving record information maintained by [the Department of Public Safety ("DPS")] under Subchapter C, Chapter 521, Transportation Code." Gov't Code § 411.082(2). CHRI obtained from the National Crime Information Center or the Texas Crime Information Center is confidential under federal and state law.

Federal regulations prohibit the release of CHRI maintained in state and local CHRI systems to the general public. *See* 28 C.F.R. § 20.21(c)(1) ("Use of criminal history record information disseminated to noncriminal justice agencies shall be limited to the purpose for which it was given."), (2) ("No agency or individual shall confirm the existence or nonexistence of criminal history record information to any person or agency that would not be eligible to receive the information itself."). Under chapter 411 of the Government Code, a criminal justice agency may obtain CHRI from DPS or from another criminal justice agency. *Id.* §§ 411.083(b)(1), .087(a)(2), .089(a). However, CHRI so obtained is confidential and may only be disclosed in very limited instances. *Id.* § 411.084; *see also id.* § 411.087 (restrictions on disclosure of CHRI obtained from DPS also apply to CHRI obtained from other criminal justice agencies). Furthermore, when a law enforcement agency

² The Office of the Attorney General will raise mandatory exceptions like sections 552.101, 552.130, and 552.137 on behalf of a governmental body, but ordinarily will not raise other exceptions. Open Records Decision Nos. 481 (1987), 480 (1987), 470 (1987).

compiles information that depicts an individual as a criminal suspect, arrestee, or defendant, the compilation of information takes on a character that implicates the individual's right to privacy in a manner that the same information in an uncompiled state does not. *See United States Dep't of Justice v. Reporters Comm. for Freedom of the Press*, 489 U.S. 749 (1989); *See also Indus. Found. v. Tex. Indus. Accident Bd.*, 540 S.W.2d 668 (Tex. 1976) (noting that predecessor to section 552.101 encompassed information protected by common-law privacy, which protects information that is highly intimate or embarrassing and is not of legitimate concern to the public); Open Records Decision No. 616 at 2-3 (1993). Accordingly, to the extent that the requested records contain such information, the city must withhold that information pursuant to section 552.101 of the Government Code.

Next, we note that a portion of the submitted information is protected under section 552.130 of the Government Code. Section 552.130 provides in relevant part:

(a) Information is excepted from the requirements of Section 552.021 if the information relates to:

- (1) a motor vehicle operator's or driver's license or permit issued by an agency of this state;
- (2) a motor vehicle title or registration issued by an agency of this state; or
- (3) a personal identification document issued by an agency of this state or a local agency authorized to issue an identification document.

Gov't Code § 552.130. Therefore, the city must withhold the Texas driver's license and personal identification numbers we have marked under section 552.130.

Finally, section 552.137 excepts from disclosure "an e-mail address of a member of the public that is provided for the purpose of communicating electronically with a governmental body" unless the member of the public consents to its release or the e-mail address is of a type specifically excluded by subsection (c). *See Gov't Code § 552.137(a)-(c)*. Section 552.137 does not apply to a government employee's work e-mail address because such an address is not that of the employee as a "member of the public" but is instead the address of the individual as a government employee. The e-mail address at issue does not appear to be of a type specifically excluded by section 552.137(c). Thus, the city must withhold the e-mail address we have marked under section 552.137 unless its owner has affirmatively consented to its release. *See Gov't Code § 552.137(b)*.

In summary, to the extent that the submitted information contains CHRI, the city must withhold that information pursuant to section 552.101 of the Government Code. The city must withhold the information we have marked pursuant to section 552.130 of the

Government Code. Unless the city has received affirmative consent to release the marked e-mail address, you must withhold it pursuant to section 552.137 of the Government Code. The remaining information must be released to the requestor pursuant to section 552.022(a)(1) of the Government Code.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, within 10 calendar days of this ruling, the governmental body will do one of the following three things: 1) release the public records; 2) notify the requestor of the exact day, time, and place that copies of the records will be provided or that the records can be inspected; or 3) notify the requestor of the governmental body's intent to challenge this letter ruling in court. If the governmental body fails to do one of these three things within 10 calendar days of this ruling, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Dep't of Pub. Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Texas Building and Procurement Commission at (512) 475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. We note that a third party may challenge this ruling by filing suit seeking to withhold information from a requestor. Gov't Code § 552.325. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Debbie K. Lee', with a stylized flourish at the end.

Debbie K. Lee
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

DKL/krl

Ref: ID# 211873

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Mr. Jim Kent
6706 Burnet Lane
Austin, Texas 78757
(w/o enclosures)